(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY) 1 SOLOMON E. GRESEN [SBN 164783] STEVEN V. RHEUBAN [SBN 143787] LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610 3 Encino, California 91436 Telephone: (818) 815-2727 Facsimile: (818) 815-2737 4 seg@rglawyers.com; svr@rglawyers.com 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Erlinda T. Elemen 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 ERLINDA T. ELEMEN, an individual Case No. 12 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 13 VS. 1. Harassment 14 SHARON STONE, an individual and DOES 1) [Government Code §12940 (j)]; through 25, inclusive, 15 2. Failure to Prevent Harassment Defendants. [Government Code §§ 12940 (k)]; 16 3. Retaliation 17 [Labor Code § 1102.5(c)]; and 18 4. Wrongful Termination 19 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 20 21 COMES NOW PLAINTIFF ERLINDA T. ELEMEN, WHO ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS: 22 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS 23 1. At all relevant times herein mentioned Plaintiff, ERLINDA T. ELEMEN ("Elemen" 24 or "Plaintiff"), an individual, was, and remains, a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and the acts complained of herein by her took place in the County of Los Angeles, State 25 of California. At all relevant times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was employed as a Nanny by 26 27 Defendant SHARON STONE and DOES 1-25, inclusive. Plaintiff ELEMEN is of Filipino descent. 28 2. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant SHARON

STONE, (hereinafter referred to as "Stone"), an individual, was, and remains a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants named herein as DOES 1-25 are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said DOE Defendants by said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of said DOE Defendants are negligently or otherwise responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and negligently or otherwise caused injuries and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will pray for leave to amend this Complaint to substitute the specific names of said DOES 1-25 and to specify their negligent acts as they become known by her.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times mentioned herein, all Defendants, and each of them, were the officers, directors, brokers, agents, contractors, advisors, servants, partners, joint venturers, employees, and/or co-conspirators of their Co-Defendants and were acting within the scope of their authority as such agents, contractors, advisors, servants, partners, joint venturers, and employees with the permission and consent of their Co-Defendants. Each Defendant, as aforesaid, was acting as a principal, and was involved in the selection, consultation, training and/or hiring of each and every other participant in the relevant events herein.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

5. On or about October 2006, Plaintiff began working for Defendant Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them as an assistant nanny whose job duties included but were not limited to caring for one of Defendant's children. Plaintiff held this position until September 2008 when she was promoted to head nanny. At that time, her job duties included, but were not limited to, the caring for all three of Defendant's children, and traveling with the children for extended periods. With this promotion, Plaintiff also was assigned to live in Defendant's residence, where she remained until she was terminated on or about February 8, 2011. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff worked in an professional manner, always performing her job duties in a competent and caring way.

- 6. From on or about August 2010 throughout the duration of her employment, Plaintiff was repeatedly subjected to numerous derogatory comments and slurs by Defendant Stone related to her Filipino ethnicity and heritage. These statements included, without limitation, comments about Plaintiff's Filipino accent (i.e. Plaintiff was instructed to refrain from speaking to the children because Defendant did not want them to "talk like you"), comments about Filipino food, and comments which equated being Filipino with being stupid. During that same period of time, Defendant Stone was repeatedly verbally dismissive of Plaintiff's deeply held religious beliefs, criticized Plaintiff for frequently attending church and, on one occasion, forbade Plaintiff from reading the bible in Plaintiff's room in Defendant's residence.
- 7. On or about January 18, 2011, Defendant Stone admitted to Plaintiff that she learned that Plaintiff was paid overtime when traveling with the children, and was also paid overtime on other occasions such as holidays throughout her tenure as the head nanny. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the overtime was paid in accordance with California law by Defendant Stone's accounting and/or payroll employees or agents. Defendant Stone, however, accused Plaintiff of "stealing" from her by taking the above-described overtime pay, told Plaintiff that it was "illegal" for Plaintiff to have taken the pay, and asked for the money back.
- 8. Plaintiff complained to Defendant Stone that it was unfair for Defendant to reduce her hours and pay, and ask for her money back, simply because she received overtime in compliance with state and federal law. In response, Plaintiff's hours and pay were immediately reduced by Defendant, who assigned the assistant nanny the additional time. Defendant Stone then began to repeatedly berate Plaintiff in front of her staff, guests and others, for a period of approximately 3 weeks, for no other reason than Plaintiff had received overtime pay as hereinabove alleged. Shortly thereafter, on or about February 8, 2011, Plaintiff was terminated by Defendant Stone with no stated reason given.
- 9. On or about February 16, 2011, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), No. E-2010-11-T-0451-00c, alleging, among other things, harassment, failure to prevent harassment and retaliation. On or about May 23, 2011, Plaintiff received a Notice of Case Closure/Right to Sue letter from the DFEH. Therefore,

Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies prior to filing the instant Complaint pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965. True and correct copies of Plaintiff's DFEH Complaint and Right To Sue letters are attached, collectively marked as EXHIBIT A, and incorporated herein by this reference as though fully set forth at length.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR HARASSMENT (Against Stone and DOES 1-25, Inclusive)

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the general allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 9 inclusive, as though fully set forth at length.
- established public policies of the State of California. Defendants Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in Government Code section 12940 (j), by making harassing statements to Plaintiff because of her ethnicity/race, and religion as more fully described above. These statements included, without limitation, comments about Plaintiff's Filipino accent (i.e. Plaintiff was instructed refrain from speaking to the children because Defendant did not want them to "talk like you"), comments about Filipino food, and comments which equated being Filipino with being stupid. During that same period of time, Defendant Stone was repeatedly verbally dismissive of Plaintiff's deeply held religious beliefs, criticized Plaintiff for frequently attending church and, on one occasion, forbade Plaintiff from reading the bible in Plaintiff's room in Defendant's residence.
- 12. As a direct and proximate result the afore-mentioned conduct, Plaintiff has been damaged thereby in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 13. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants violation of Government Code section 12940(j) and the facts herein alleged, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress; she has incurred, and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by health professionals, and other incidental expenses. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.
 - 14. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants violations of Government

<u>Code</u> section 12940 (j), as more fully set forth hereinabove, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of counsel in an effort to protect her rights and enforce the terms and conditions of the employment relationship with Stone and DOES 1-25, and has thereby incurred, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs. Plaintiff requests that attorney fees and expert witness fees be awarded pursuant to <u>Government Code</u> section 12965.

15. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that the conduct of Defendant Stone, as more fully set forth hereinabove, was done with fraud, oppression and/or malice, or with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design, and purpose of injuring her. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO TAKE REASONABLE STEPS TO PREVENT HARASSMENT (Against Stone and DOES 1-25, Inclusive)

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the general and common allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 15 inclusive, as though fully set forth at length.
- 17. Government Code Section 12940 (k) embodies fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies of the State of California. Defendants Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in Government Code section 12940 (k), by failing to take "all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring" as required. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants took no steps to prevent harassment from occurring during her tenure of employment.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result the afore-mentioned failure to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent harassment, Plaintiff has been damaged thereby in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 19. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants violation of Government Code section 12940(k) and the facts herein alleged, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress; she has incurred, and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by health professionals, and other incidental expenses. Plaintiff is

thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.

20. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants violations of <u>Government Code</u> section 12940 (j), as more fully set forth hereinabove, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of counsel in an effort to vindicate her rights and enforce the terms and conditions of the employment relationship with Stone and DOES 1-25, and has thereby incurred, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs. Plaintiff requests that attorney fees and expert witness fees be awarded pursuant to <u>Government Code</u> section 12965.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RETALIATION (Against Stone and DOES 1-25, Inclusive)

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the general allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 20 inclusive, as though fully set forth at length.
- 22. Labor Code section 1102.5(c) embodies fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies of the State of California. Defendants Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them violated the fundamental, substantial, and well-established public policies embodied in Labor Code section 1102.5, by retaliating against Plaintiff because she received overtime pay in compliance with state and federal law.
- 23. Specifically, as more fully alleged above, Defendant Stone and DOES 1 through 25, and each of them, asked Plaintiff to return monies lawfully earned under state and federal law. When Plaintiff complained of this treatment, Defendants cut her hours and her pay, and terminated Plaintiff approximately three weeks later. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleged that this conduct was in violation of Labor Code section 1102.5(c).
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of Labor Code section 1102.5 as hereinabove alleged, Plaintiff has been damaged thereby in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 25. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of Labor Code section 1102.5 and the facts herein alleged, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress; she has incurred, and will continue to incur

medical expenses for treatment by health professionals, and for other incidental expenses; and she has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.

- 26. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as more fully set forth hereinabove, was done with fraud, oppression and/or malice, or with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design, and purpose of injuring her. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendant DOES 1-25, and each of them, are corporations and/or limited liability companies which are liable for a civil penalty not exceeding ten thousand dollars for each violation of section 1102.5.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL TERMINATION (Against Stone and DOES 1-25, Inclusive)

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the general allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive as though fully set forth at length.
- 29. It is the explicit public policy of the State of California that employees should feel free to engage in lawful activity without fear of termination, as embodied in Labor Code sections 1102.5 and 98.6 Further, it is the public policy of the State of California to protect an employee who complains in good faith about working conditions, practices, or legal violations as embodied in the statutes described hereinabove.
- 30. As more fully described hereinabove, Defendant Stone, DOES 1-25, and each of them, retaliated against Plaintiff for her refusal to return monies Plaintiff lawfully earned, and for complaining of the improper conduct to her employer, all of which is in violation of the express public policies of the State of California.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants violations of public policy as hereinabove alleged, Plaintiff has been damaged thereby in a sum according to proof with interest thereon at the legal rate allowed, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this

5

11 12

10

14

13

1516

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

32. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants willful, knowing and intentional violations of public policy, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe physical and mental anguish and emotional distress; she has incurred, and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by health professionals, and for other incidental expenses; and she has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.

33. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as more fully set forth hereinabove, was done with fraud, oppression and/or malice, or with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent, design, and purpose of injuring her. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered in her favor and against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general and special damages according to proof at trial, with interest thereon at the legal rate from the date of the damages;
 - 2. For incidental and coincidental damages according to proof at trial;
 - 3. For punitive and exemplary damages according to proof at trial;
- 4. For costs of suit and interest incurred, including reasonable attorney and expert witness fees;
- 5. For civil penalty not exceeding ten thousand dollars for each violation of section 1102.5; and
 - 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 22, 2012

LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN

By

SOLOMON E. GRESEN Attorneys For Plaintiff ERLINDA ELEMEN

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands her constitutional right to a trial by jury. LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN Dated: May 22, 2012 By SOLOMON E. GRESEN Attorneys For Plaintiff ERLINDA ELEMEN **DEMAND FOR ARBITRATION** In the event that there is an enforceable arbitration agreement between the parties to this action, Plaintiff hereby demands arbitration under said agreement, in compliance with Armendriz v. Foundation Health Psychcare Services (2000) 24 Cal.4th 83, 127, and its progeny. LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN Dated: May 22, 2012 By SOLOMON E. GRESEN Attorneys For Plaintiff ERLINDA ELEMEN

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

1055 West 7th Street | Suite 1400 | Los Angeles | CA 90017-2577 (213) 439-6799 | (800) 884-1684 | Videophone for the DEAF (916) 226-5285 www.dfeh.ca.gov | contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

May 23, 2011

ERLINDA T. ELEMEN

RF:

E201011T0451-00-c

ELEMEN/SHARON STONE

Dear ERLINDA T. ELEMEN:

NOTICE OF CASE CLOSURE

This letter informs that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective February 16, 2011 because an immediate right-to-sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also the Right-To-Sue Notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

If a federal notice of Right-To-Sue is wanted, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) must be visited to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH *Notice of Case Closure* or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Notice of Case Closure Page Two

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Tina Walker

District Administrator

I ma Walker

cc: Case File

ON UNDER

ENT * * *

F 2010 | T 0451 00 ,

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING	
	JMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE)
ELLINDA T. ELEMEN	
CO RHEUBAN & GRESEN 15910 VENTURA BI. # 1610, E	INCIMO, CA,9436
COUNTY CA NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER PERSON LARGE ORGANIZATION EMPLOYMENT AGENCY APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE OR STATE OR LOW	COUNTY CODE
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, PERSON, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, OR STATE OR LOC AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME:	CAL GOVERNMENT
NAME SHARON STONG	T HILLANDER H. J. J. A. S. C. J.
	DFEH USE ONLY
COUNTY	COUNTY CODE
NO. OF EMPLOYEES/MEMBERS (if kyhwn) DATE MOST RECENT OR CONTINUING DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE (month, day, and year)	RESPONDENT CODE
following conduct occurred: Applied	of family or medical leave of pregnancy leave of equal pay of right to wear pants of pregnancy accommodation
by SHARON STOKE (WNER) Name of Person Job Title (supervisor)manager/personnel director/etc.)	
because of: sex	
State what you believe to be the reason(s) for discrimination Religion, lace color, national origin Ancestry and leavest to be the reason(s) for discrimination	be cuse
I wish to pursue this matter in court. Thereby request that the Department of Fair Employment and Housing provide a right-to-sue notice. Tunderstand that if I want a federal in the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of the DFEH "Notice of Case Closure," or within 300 days of the allege is earlier.	
I have not been coerced into making this request, nor do I make it based on fear of retaliation if I do not do so. I understand it is the Department of Fair Employment and Housin reopen a complaint once the complaint has been closed on the basis of "Complainant Elected Court Action."	g's policy to not process or
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct of my own knowledge except as to matters stated belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true.	ted on my information and
Dated 9 9 11 COMPLAINANT'S SIGNATURE	
At Encire Bity	EIVED

DATE FILED:

FEB 16 2011

DFEH-300-03 (04/08)
DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING

Denartment of Fair Employmenate of Carleornia

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.				
Item I. Check the types	of hearing and fill in the	estimated length of heari	ing expected for this case:	
JURY TRIAL? X YES	CLASS ACTION? YES	LIMITED CASE? YES	TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-	7 🗆 hours/ 🛭 days

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check <u>one</u> Superior Court type of action in Column **B** below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column **C**, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). Location where cause of action arose.
- Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
- Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. Location where petitioner resides.
- Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- Sociation where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above	
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.	
₽ o	Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.	
ا ا ا	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.	
Proper ath To	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.	
al İnjury/ I ongful Dea	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.	
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.	

LexisNexis® Automated California County Forms

SHORT TITLE:

CASE NUMBER

Elemen v. Stone, et al.

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort Employment Contract Real Property

Unlawful Detainer

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above	
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.	
Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.	
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.	
Fraud (16)	□ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.	
	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.	
Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.	
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.	
Wrongful Termination (36)	△ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1.2.3.	
	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case	1., 2., 3.	
Other Employment (15)	□ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10.	
	☐ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.	
Breach of Contract/ Warranty	A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5.	
(06) (not insurance)	☐ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1., 2., 5.	
(net meanance)	☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.	
Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.	
	☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.	
Insurance Coverage (18)	□ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.	
	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.	
Other Contract (37)	□ A6031 Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.	
	☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.	
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.	
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.	
	□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.	
Other Real Property (26)	☐ A6032 Quiet Title	2., 6.	
. , , ,	☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	□ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.	
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.	

Elemen v. Stone, et al.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
riew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	□ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	 □ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	□ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
ion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
itigat.	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
nplex l	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ily Coı	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
្នុន	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

SHORT TITLE;		CASE NUMBER	
	Elemen v. Stone, et al.		

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., **Step 3** on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.			ADDRESS:
□1. 凶2. □3. □4. □5. □6. □7. □8. □9. □10.]9. □10.	
CITY	STATE:	Z P CODE:	
	CA		
and correct and that the ab	ove-entitled matter	is properly file	erjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true ed for assignment to the <u>Stanley-Mosk</u> courthouse in the mia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and	(d)].		
Dated: <u>May 23, 2012</u>			(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum
 must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.